IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND MAY 2007 / 12TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(Crl.).No. 93 of 2007(S)

PETITIONER:

SAJESH, S/O.CHANDRAN, NALUPURA,
NEDUMANI, NANMENI, KOLLENKODE,
CHITTUR TALUK, PALAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.VINOD KUMAR.C

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,**
   PALAKKAD.

2. **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   KOLLENKODE POLICE STATION,KOLLENKODE.

3. **SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   CHALAKUDY POLICE STATION,
   CHALAKUDY, BOTH REPRESENTED BY THE
   PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
   ERNAKULAM

4. **RADHAAKRISHNAN KURIVIKUDU MARAM,**
   NENMENI, KOLLENKODU, PALAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.C.C.THOMAS
BY ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. V.K.MOHANAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(Crl) 93/2007 S

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT:

EXT.P1; COPY OF COMPLAINT TO POLICE DTD. 28/03/2007.

/TRUE COPY/

KSS

V. RAMKUMAR AND T.R. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR JJ.

W.P.(Crl.) No. 93 OF 2007

Dated this the 2nd day of May, 2007

O R D E R

Neethu, the daughter of 4th respondent as well as the 4th respondent are present in court. She is admittedly a major, who has attained 18 years of age in the year 2006. She stated before us that she has no sort of affection or love towards the petitioner and that the case of the petitioner that they were married in a temple is not true. She further stated that she is willing to go with her father, the 4th respondent. Under these circumstances, we see no reason to proceed the matter further. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

(T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

aks